

## University of Groningen

### Listening Effort

Pals, Carina

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Pals, C. (2016). *Listening Effort: The hidden costs and benefits of cochlear implants*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

## REFERENCES

## REFERENCES

- Adank, P., & Janse, E. (2009). Perceptual learning of time-compressed and natural fast speech. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 126(5), 2649–59. doi:10.1121/1.3216914
- Alain, C., McDonald, K. L., Ostroff, J. M., & Schneider, B. a. (2004). Aging: a switch from automatic to controlled processing of sounds? *Psychology and Aging*, 19(1), 125–33. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.19.1.125
- Angeli, S. I., Yan, D., Telischi, F., Balkany, T. J., Ouyang, X. M., Du, L. L., ... Liu, X. Z. (2005). Etiologic diagnosis of sensorineural hearing loss in adults. *Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery: Official Journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, 132(6), 890–5. doi:10.1016/j.otohns.2005.03.001
- Archart, K. H., Souza, P., Baca, R., & Kates, J. M. (2013). Working memory, age, and hearing loss: susceptibility to hearing aid distortion. *Ear and Hearing*, 34(3), 251–60. doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e318271aa5e
- Aydelott, J., & Bates, E. (2004). Effects of acoustic distortion and semantic context on lexical access. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 19(1), 29–56. doi:10.1080/01690960344000099
- Baayen, R., Piepenbrock, R., & Gulikers, L. (1995). The CELEX lexical database (CD-ROM). *Philadelphia, PA: Linguistic Data Consortium, University of Pennsylvania.*
- Baayen, R., Piepenbrock, R., & van Rijn, H. (1993). The {CELEX} lexical data base on {CD-ROM}. *Philadelphia, PA: Linguistic Data Consortium, University of Pennsylvania.*
- Baddeley, A. D. (2000). The episodic buffer: a new component of working memory? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 4(11), 417–423. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01538-2
- Baddeley, A. D. (2012). Working memory: theories, models, and controversies. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63, 1–29. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100422
- Baddeley, A. D., & Hitch, G. (1974). Working memory. *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation: Advances in Research and Theory*, 8, 47–89. doi:10.1016/S0079-7421(08)60452-1
- Baddeley, A. D., & Salamé, P. (1986). The unattended speech effect: perception or memory? *Journal of Experimental Psychology. Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 12(4), 525–9.
- Baer, T., Moore, B. C. J., & Gatehouse, S. (1993). Spectral contrast enhancement of speech in noise for listeners with sensorineural hearing impairment: effects on intelligibility, quality, and response times. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, 30(1), 49–72.

- Barr, D. J., Levy, R., Scheepers, C., & Tily, H. J. (2013). Random effects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 68(3), 255–278. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2012.11.001
- Başkent, D. (2006). Speech recognition in normal hearing and sensorineural hearing loss as a function of the number of spectral channels. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 120(5 Pt 1), 2908–25. doi:10.1121/1.2354017
- Başkent, D. (2012). Effect of Speech Degradation on Top-Down Repair: Phonemic Restoration with Simulations of Cochlear Implants and Combined Electric-Acoustic Stimulation. *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology : JARO*, 13(5), 683–92. doi:10.1007/s10162-012-0334-3
- Başkent, D., Clarke, J., Pals, C., Benard, M. R., Bhargava, P., Saija, J. D., ... Gaudrain, E. (2016). Cognitive compensation of speech perception in hearing loss: How and to what degree can it be achieved? *Trends in Hearing*.
- Başkent, D., Gaudrain, E., Tamati, T., & Wagner, A. E. (2016). Perception and psychoacoustics of speech in cochlear implant users. In *Scientific Foundations of Audiology*.
- Başkent, D., & Shannon, R. V. (2007). Combined effects of frequency compression-expansion and shift on speech recognition. *Ear and Hearing*, 28(3), 277. doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e318050d398
- Benard, M. R., & Başkent, D. (2013). Perceptual Learning of Interrupted Speech. *PloS One*, 8(3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058149
- Benard, M. R., Mensink, S. J., & Başkent, D. (2014). Individual differences in top-down restoration of interrupted speech: Links to linguistic and cognitive abilities. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 135(2), EL88–EL94. doi:10.1121/1.4862879
- Bhargava, P., Gaudrain, E., & Başkent, D. (2014). Top-down restoration of speech in cochlear-implant users. *Hearing Research*, 309, 113–23. doi:10.1016/j.heares.2013.12.003
- Bhargava, P., Gaudrain, E., & Başkent, D. (2016). The Intelligibility of Interrupted Speech: Cochlear Implant Users and Normal Hearing Listeners. *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology : JARO*. doi:10.1007/s10162-016-0565-9
- Blamey, P. J., Artières, F., Başkent, D., Bergeron, F., Beynon, A., Burke, E., ... Lazard, D. S. (2013). Factors affecting auditory performance of postlinguistically deaf adults using cochlear implants: an update with 2251 patients. *Audiology & Neuro-Otology*, 18(1), 36–47. doi:10.1159/000343189

## REFERENCES

- Blamey, P. J., Pyman, B. C., Gordon, M., Clark, G. M., Brown, A. M., Dowell, R. C., & Hollow, R. D. (1992). Factors predicting postoperative sentence scores in postlinguistically deaf adult cochlear implant patients. *The Annals of Otolaryngology, Rhinology, and Laryngology*, 101(4), 342–8.
- Boothroyd, A., & Nitttrouer, S. (1988). Mathematical treatment of context effects in phoneme and word recognition. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of ...*
- Broadbent, D. E. (1958). *Perception and communication*. Elmsford, NY, US: Pergamon Press, Inc. doi:10.1037/10037-000
- Brown, C. a., & Bacon, S. P. (2009). Low-frequency speech cues and simulated electric-acoustic hearing. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 125(3), 1658–65. doi:10.1121/1.3068441
- Büchner, A., Schüssler, M., Battmer, R. D., Stöver, T., Lesinski-Schiedat, A., & Lenarz, T. (2009). Impact of low-frequency hearing. *Audiology & Neuro-Otology*, 14 Suppl 1(suppl 1), 8–13. doi:10.1159/000206490
- Caissie, A. F., Vigneau, F., & Bors, D. a. (2009). What does the Mental Rotation Test Measure? An Analysis of Item Difficulty and Item Characteristics. *The Open Psychology Journal*, 2(1), 94–102. doi:10.2174/1874350100902010094
- Caplan, D., & Waters, G. S. (1999). Verbal working memory and sentence comprehension. *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22(1), 77–94; discussion 95–126.
- Chatterjee, M., Peredo, F., Nelson, D., & Başkent, D. (2010). Recognition of interrupted sentences under conditions of spectral degradation. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 127(2), EL37–41. doi:10.1121/1.3284544
- Classon, E., Rudner, M., & Rönnerberg, J. (2013). Working memory compensates for hearing related phonological processing deficit. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 46(1), 17–29. doi:10.1016/j.jcomdis.2012.10.001
- Dahan, D., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2004). Continuous mapping from sound to meaning in spoken-language comprehension: immediate effects of verb-based thematic constraints. *Journal of Experimental Psychology. Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 30(2), 498–513. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.30.2.498
- Dooley, G. J., Blamey, P. J., Seligman, P. M., Alcántara, J. I., Clark, G. M., Shalloo, J. K., ... Menapace, C. M. (1993). Combined electrical and acoustical stimulation using a bimodal prosthesis. *Archives of Otolaryngology--Head & Neck Surgery*, 119(1), 55–60.

- Dorman, M. F., & Gifford, R. H. (2010). Combining acoustic and electric stimulation in the service of speech recognition. *International Journal of Audiology*, 49(12), 912–9. doi:10.3109/14992027.2010.509113
- Dorman, M. F., Loizou, P. C., Fitzke, J., & Tu, Z. (1998). The recognition of sentences in noise by normal-hearing listeners using simulations of cochlear-implant signal processors with 6-20 channels. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 104(6), 3583–5.
- Dorman, M. F., Loizou, P. C., & Rainey, D. (1997). Speech intelligibility as a function of the number of channels of stimulation for signal processors using sine-wave and noise-band outputs. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 102(4), 2403–11.
- Dorman, M. F., Spahr, A. J., Loizou, P. C., Dana, C. J., & Schmidt, J. S. (2005). Acoustic simulations of combined electric and acoustic hearing (EAS). *Ear and Hearing*, 26(4), 371–80.
- Downs, D. W., & Crum, M. a. (1978). Processing demands during auditory learning under degraded listening conditions. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 21(4), 702–14.
- Dudley, H. (1939). The automatic synthesis of speech. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 25(7), 377. doi:10.1073/pnas.25.7.377
- Duncan, J., Martens, S., & Ward, R. (1997). Restricted attentional capacity within but not between sensory modalities. *Nature*, 387(6635), 808–10. doi:10.1038/42947
- Dyson, B. J., Alain, C., & He, Y. (2005). Effects of visual attentional load on low-level auditory scene analysis. *Cognitive, Affective & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 5(3), 319–38.
- Eddington, D. K. (1980). Speech discrimination in deaf subjects with cochlear implants. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 68(3), 885. doi:10.1121/1.384827
- Epstein, M., & Florentine, M. (2012). Binaural loudness summation for speech presented via earphones and loudspeaker with and without visual cues. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 131(5), 3981–8. doi:10.1121/1.3701984
- Feuerstein, J. F. (1992). Monaural versus binaural hearing: Ease of listening, word recognition, and attentional effort. *Ear and Hearing*, 13(2), 80–6.
- Fishman, K. E., Shannon, R. V., & Slattery, W. H. (1997). Speech recognition as a function of the number of electrodes used in the SPEAK cochlear implant speech processor. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*: JSLHR, 40(5), 1201–15.

## REFERENCES

- Francis, A. L., & Nusbaum, H. C. (2009). Effects of intelligibility on working memory demand for speech perception. *Attention, Perception & Psychophysics*, 71(6), 1360–74.  
doi:10.3758/APP.71.6.1360
- Fraser, S., Gagné, J.-P., Alepins, M., & Dubois, P. (2010). Evaluating the effort expended to understand speech in noise using a dual-task paradigm: the effects of providing visual speech cues. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 53(1), 18–33.  
doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2009/08-0140)
- Friesen, L. M., Shannon, R. V., Başkent, D., & Wang, X. (2001). Speech recognition in noise as a function of the number of spectral channels: Comparison of acoustic hearing and cochlear implants. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 110(2), 1150.  
doi:10.1121/1.1381538
- Fu, Q.-J. (2002). Temporal processing and speech recognition in cochlear implant users. *Neuroreport*, 13(13), 1635–9.
- Fu, Q.-J., & Nogaki, G. (2005). Noise susceptibility of cochlear implant users: the role of spectral resolution and smearing. *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology: JARO*, 6(1), 19–27. doi:10.1007/s10162-004-5024-3
- Fu, Q.-J., Shannon, R. V., & Wang, X. (1998). Effects of noise and spectral resolution on vowel and consonant recognition: acoustic and electric hearing. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 104(6), 3586–96.
- Fu, Q.-J., Shannon, R. V., & Galvin, J. J. (2002). Perceptual learning following changes in the frequency-to-electrode assignment with the Nucleus-22 cochlear implant. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 112(4), 1664. doi:10.1121/1.1502901
- Gatehouse, S. (1990). The role of non-auditory factors in measured and self-reported disability. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica. Supplementum*, 476(3), 249–56.
- Gatehouse, S., & Gordon, J. (1990). Response times to speech stimuli as measures of benefit from amplification. *British Journal of Audiology*, 24(1), 63–8.
- Getzmann, S., & Falkenstein, M. (2011). Understanding of spoken language under challenging listening conditions in younger and older listeners: a combined behavioral and electrophysiological study. *Brain Research*, 1415, 8–22.  
doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2011.08.001
- Gibbon, D., Moore, R., & Winski, R. (1997). *Handbook of standards and resources for spoken language systems*. (D. Gibbon, R. Moore, & R. Winski, Eds.) (p. 886). Berlin, DE: DE GRUYTER MOUTON.

- Gosselin, P. A., & Gagné, J.-P. (2010). Use of a dual-Task paradigm to measure listening effort. Utilisation d'un paradigme de double tâche pour mesurer l'attention auditive. *Revue Canadienne d'Orthophonie et d'Audiologie*, 34(1), 43–51.
- Gosselin, P. A., & Gagné, J.-P. (2011). Older adults expend more listening effort than young adults recognizing speech in noise. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 54(3), 944–58. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2010/10-0069)
- Goy, H., Pelletier, M., Coletta, M., & Pichora-Fuller, M. K. (2013). The effects of semantic context and the type and amount of acoustic distortion on lexical decision by younger and older adults. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 56(6), 1715–32. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2013/12-0053)
- Greenwood, D. D. (1990). A cochlear frequency-position function for several species--29 years later. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 87(6), 2592–605.
- Gstoettner, W. K., Kiefer, J., Baumgartner, W.-D., Pok, S., Peters, S., & Adunka, O. F. (2004). Hearing preservation in cochlear implantation for electric acoustic stimulation. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*, 124(4), 348–52. doi:10.1080/00016480410016432
- Gstoettner, W. K., Van de Heyning, P. H., O'Connor, A. F., Morera, C., Sainz, M., Vermeire, K., ... Adunka, O. F. (2008). Electric acoustic stimulation of the auditory system: results of a multi-centre investigation. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*, (792024350), 1–8. doi:10.1080/00016480701805471
- Hannemann, R., Obleser, J., & Eulitz, C. (2007). Top-down knowledge supports the retrieval of lexical information from degraded speech. *Brain Research*, 1153, 134–43. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2007.03.069
- Hart, S. G., & Staveland, L. E. (1988). Development of NASA TLX (task load index): Results of empirical and theoretical research. *Human Mental Workload*, 1, 139–183.
- Hecker, M. H., Stevens, K. N., & Williams, C. E. (1966). Measurements of reaction time in intelligibility tests. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 39(6), 1188–9.
- Hegarty, M., Shah, P., & Miyake, A. (2000). Constraints on using the dual-task methodology to specify the degree of central executive involvement in cognitive tasks. *Memory & Cognition*, 28(3), 376–85.
- Henry, B. a., Turner, C. W., & Behrens, A. (2005). Spectral peak resolution and speech recognition in quiet: Normal hearing, hearing impaired, and cochlear implant listeners. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 118(2), 1111. doi:10.1121/1.1944567



## REFERENCES

- Hervais-Adelman, A. G., Carlyon, R. P., Johnsrude, I. S., & Davis, M. H. (2012). Brain regions recruited for the effortful comprehension of noise-vocoded words. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 27(7-8), 1145–1166. doi:10.1080/01690965.2012.662280
- Heydebrand, G., Hale, S., Potts, L., Gotter, B., & Skinner, M. W. (2007). Cognitive predictors of improvements in adults' spoken word recognition six months after cochlear implant activation. *Audiology & Neuro-Otology*, 12(4), 254–64. doi:10.1159/000101473
- Hockey, G. R. (1997). Compensatory control in the regulation of human performance under stress and high workload; a cognitive-energetical framework. *Biological Psychology*, 45(1-3), 73–93.
- Hornsby, B. W. Y. (2013). The Effects of Hearing Aid Use on Listening Effort and Mental Fatigue Associated With Sustained Speech Processing Demands. *Ear and Hearing*. doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e31828003d8
- Houben, R., van Doorn-Bierman, M., & Dreschler, W. A. (2012). Measuring listening effort with digits in noise.
- Hoyek, N., Collet, C., Fargier, P., & Guillot, A. (2012). The Use of the Vandenberg and Kuse Mental Rotation Test in Children. *Journal of Individual Differences*, 33(1), 62–67. doi:10.1027/1614-0001/a000063
- Hua, H., Emilsson, M., Kähäri, K., Widén, S., Möller, C., & Lyxell, B. (2014). The impact of different background noises: effects on cognitive performance and perceived disturbance in employees with aided hearing impairment and normal hearing. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 25(9), 859–68. doi:10.3766/jaaa.25.9.8
- Jansen, P. a., & Watter, S. (2008). SayWhen: An automated method for high-accuracy speech onset detection. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40(3), 744–751. doi:10.3758/BRM.40.3.744
- Just, M. a., & Carpenter, P. a. (1992). A capacity theory of comprehension: individual differences in working memory. *Psychological Review*, 99(1), 122–49.
- Kahneman, D. (1973). Attention and effort. In *Measurement*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice-Hall.
- Karatekin, C., Couperus, J. W., & Marcus, D. J. (2004). Attention allocation in the dual-task paradigm as measured through behavioral and psychophysiological responses. *Psychophysiology*, 41(2), 175–185. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.2003.00147.x
- Kempler, D., Almor, A., Tyler, L. K., Andersen, E. S., & MacDonald, M. C. (1998). Sentence comprehension deficits in Alzheimer's disease: a comparison of off-line vs. on-line sentence processing. *Brain and Language*, 64(3), 297–316. doi:10.1006/brln.1998.1980

- Kiefer, J., Pok, M., Adunka, O. F., Stürzebecher, E., Baumgartner, W.-D., Schmidt, M., ... Gstoettner, W. K. (2005). Combined electric and acoustic stimulation of the auditory system: results of a clinical study. *Audiology & Neuro-Otology*, 10(3), 134–44. doi:10.1159/000084023
- Klop, W. M. C., Briaire, J. J., Stiggelbout, A. M., & Frijns, J. H. M. (2007). Cochlear implant outcomes and quality of life in adults with prelingual deafness. *The Laryngoscope*, 117(11), 1982–7. doi:10.1097/MLG.0b013e31812f56a6
- Koelewijn, T., Zekveld, A. A., Festen, J. M., & Kramer, S. E. (2012). Pupil dilation uncovers extra listening effort in the presence of a single-talker masker. *Ear and Hearing*, 33(2), 291–300. doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e3182310019
- Koelewijn, T., Zekveld, A. A., Festen, J. M., Rönnerberg, J., & Kramer, S. E. (2012). Processing load induced by informational masking is related to linguistic abilities. *International Journal of Otolaryngology*, 2012, 865731. doi:10.1155/2012/865731
- Kong, Y.-Y., & Carlyon, R. P. (2007). Improved speech recognition in noise in simulated binaurally combined acoustic and electric stimulation. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 121(6), 3717–27. doi:10.1121/1.2717408
- Kong, Y.-Y., Stickney, G. S., & Zeng, F.-G. (2005). Speech and melody recognition in binaurally combined acoustic and electric hearing. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 117(3 Pt 1), 1351–61. doi:10.1121/1.1857526
- Kramer, S. E., Kapteyn, T. S., & Houtgast, T. (2006). Occupational performance: comparing normally-hearing and hearing-impaired employees using the Amsterdam Checklist for Hearing and Work. *International Journal of Audiology*, 45(9), 503–12. doi:10.1080/14992020600754583
- Kramer, S. E., Zekveld, A. A., & Houtgast, T. (2009). Measuring cognitive factors in speech comprehension: the value of using the Text Reception Threshold test as a visual equivalent of the SRT test. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 50(5), 507–15. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9450.2009.00747.x
- Kuchinsky, S. E., Ahlstrom, J. B., Vaden, K. I., Cute, S. L., Humes, L. E., Dubno, J. R., & Eckert, M. a. (2012). Pupil size varies with word listening and response selection difficulty in older adults with hearing loss. *Psychophysiology*. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.2012.01477.x
- Larsby, B., Hällgren, M., Lyxell, B., & Arlinger, S. (2005). Cognitive performance and perceived effort in speech processing tasks: effects of different noise backgrounds in

## REFERENCES

- normal-hearing and hearing-impaired subjects. *International Journal of Audiology*, 44(3), 131–143. doi:10.1080/14992020500057244
- Lazard, D. S., Vincent, C., Venail, F., Van de Heyning, P. H., Truy, E., Sterkers, O., ... Blamey, P. J. (2012). Pre-, Per- and Postoperative Factors Affecting Performance of Postlinguistically Deaf Adults Using Cochlear Implants: A New Conceptual Model over Time. *PLoS One*, 7(11), e48739. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048739
- Lecumberri, M. L. G., & Cooke, M. (2006). Effect of masker type on native and non-native consonant perception in noise. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 119(4), 2445. doi:10.1121/1.2180210
- Levitt, H. (1971). Transformed up-down methods in psychoacoustics. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 49(2), Suppl 2:467+.
- Li, K. Z. ., & Lindenberger, U. (2002). Relations between aging sensory/sensorimotor and cognitive functions. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 26(7), 777–783. doi:10.1016/S0149-7634(02)00073-8
- Lindenberger, U., & Baltes, P. B. (1994). Sensory functioning and intelligence in old age: A strong connection. *Psychology and Aging*, 9(3), 339–55.
- Ljung, R., Sörqvist, P., Kjellberg, A., & Green, A. (2009). Poor Listening Conditions Impair Memory for Intelligible Lectures : Implications for Acoustic Classroom Standards, 16(3), 257–265.
- Loizou, P. C. (1998). Mimicking the human ear. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 15(5), 101–130. doi:10.1109/79.708543
- Lunner, T. (2003). Cognitive function in relation to hearing aid use. *International Journal of Audiology*, 42 Suppl 1(s1), S49–58. doi:10.3109/14992020309074624
- Lunner, T., Rudner, M., & Rönnerberg, J. (2009). Cognition and hearing aids. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 50(5), 395–403. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9450.2009.00742.x
- Lunner, T., Rudner, M., Rosenbom, T., Ågren, J., & Ng, E. H. N. (2016). Using Speech Recall in Hearing Aid Fitting and Outcome Evaluation Under Ecological Test Conditions. *Ear and Hearing*, 37 Suppl 1(Wilson 2003), 145S–54S. doi:10.1097/AUD.0000000000000294
- Lunner, T., & Sundewall-Thorén, E. (2007). Interactions between cognition, compression, and listening conditions: effects on speech-in-noise performance in a two-channel hearing aid. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 18(7), 604–17.

- Manrique, M., Cervera-Paz, F. J., Huarte, A., Perez, N., Molina, M., & García-Tapia, R. (1999). Cerebral auditory plasticity and cochlear implants. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 49 Suppl 1, S193–7. doi:10.1016/S0165-5876(99)00159-7
- Mattys, S. L., Brooks, J., & Cooke, M. (2009). Recognizing speech under a processing load: dissociating energetic from informational factors. *Cognitive Psychology*, 59(3), 203–43. doi:10.1016/j.cogpsych.2009.04.001
- Mattys, S. L., Davis, M. H., Bradlow, A. R., & Scott, S. K. (2012). Speech recognition in adverse conditions: A review. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 27(7-8), 953–978. doi:10.1080/01690965.2012.705006
- Mattys, S. L., & Wiget, L. (2011). Effects of cognitive load on speech recognition. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 65(2), 145–160. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2011.04.004
- McCoy, S. L., Tun, P. A., Cox, L. C., Colangelo, M., Stewart, R. A., & Wingfield, A. (2005). Hearing loss and perceptual effort: downstream effects on older adults' memory for speech. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology. A, Human Experimental Psychology*, 58(1), 22–33. doi:10.1080/02724980443000151
- McGarrigle, R., Munro, K. J., Dawes, P., Stewart, A. J., Moore, D. R., Barry, J. G., & Amitay, S. (2014). Listening effort and fatigue: What exactly are we measuring? A British Society of Audiology Cognition in Hearing Special Interest Group “white paper.” *International Journal of Audiology*, (November 2013), 1–13. doi:10.3109/14992027.2014.890296
- Miller, G., & Licklider, J. (1950). The Intelligibility of Interrupted Speech. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of ...*, 22(2).
- Mok, M., Grayden, D., Dowell, R. C., & Lawrence, D. (2006). Speech perception for adults who use hearing aids in conjunction with cochlear implants in opposite ears. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 49(2), 338–51. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2006/027)
- Moore, B. C. J. (2004). Dead Regions in the Cochlea: Conceptual Foundations, Diagnosis, and Clinical Applications. *Ear and Hearing*, 25(2), 98–116. doi:10.1097/01.AUD.0000120359.49711.D7
- Morey, C. C., & Cowan, N. (2004). When visual and verbal memories compete: evidence of cross-domain limits in working memory. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 11(2), 296–301.

## REFERENCES

- Nelson, P. B., & Jin, S.-H. (2004). Factors affecting speech understanding in gated interference: cochlear implant users and normal-hearing listeners. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 115(5 Pt 1), 2286–94. doi:10.1121/1.1703538
- Nijboer, M., Taatgen, N. A., Brands, A., Borst, J. P., & van Rijn, H. (2013). Decision making in concurrent multitasking: do people adapt to task interference? *PloS One*, 8(11), e79583. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079583
- Otten, M., & Van Berkum, J. J. a. (2009). Does working memory capacity affect the ability to predict upcoming words in discourse? *Brain Research*, 1291, 92–101. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2009.07.042
- Pals, C., Sarampalis, A., & Başkent, D. (2013). Listening effort with cochlear implant simulations. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 4388. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2012/12-0074)
- Pals, C., Sarampalis, A., van Rijn, H., & Başkent, D. (2015). Validation of a simple response-time measure of listening effort. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 138(3), EL187–EL192. doi:10.1121/1.4929614
- Pfingst, B. E., Zwolan, T. a, & Holloway, L. a. (1997). Effects of stimulus configuration on psychophysical operating levels and on speech recognition with cochlear implants. *Hearing Research*, 112(1-2), 247–260. doi:10.1016/S0378-5955(97)00122-6
- Pichora-Fuller, M. K. (2003). Cognitive aging and auditory information processing. *International Journal of Audiology*, 42 Suppl 2, 2S26–32.
- Pichora-Fuller, M. K., Schneider, B. a, & Daneman, M. (1995). How young and old adults listen to and remember speech in noise. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 97(1), 593–608.
- Piquado, T., Isaacowitz, D., & Wingfield, A. (2010). Pupillometry as a measure of cognitive effort in younger and older adults. *Psychophysiology*, 47(3), 560–9. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.2009.00947.x
- Plomp, R. (1986). A signal-to-noise ratio model for the speech-reception threshold of the hearing impaired. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 29(2), 146–54.
- Qin, M. K., & Oxenham, A. J. (2006). Effects of introducing unprocessed low-frequency information on the reception of envelope-vocoder processed speech. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 119(4), 2417–26. doi:10.1121/1.2178719
- Rabbitt, P. M. (1966). Recognition: Memory for words correctly heard in noise. *Psychonomic Science*, 6(8), 383–384.

- Rabbitt, P. M. (1968). Channel-capacity, intelligibility and immediate memory. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 20(3), 241–8. doi:10.1080/14640746808400158
- Rabbitt, P. M. (1991). Mild hearing loss can cause apparent memory failures which increase with age and reduce with IQ. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*, 111(s476), 167–176. doi:10.3109/00016489109127274
- Rakerd, B., Seitz, P., & Whearty, M. (1996). Assessing the cognitive demands of speech listening for people with hearing losses. *Ear and Hearing*, 17(2), 97.
- Ralston, J. V., Pisoni, D. B., Lively, S. E., Greene, B. G., & Mullennix, J. W. (1991). Comprehension of synthetic speech produced by rule: word monitoring and sentence-by-sentence listening times. *Human Factors*, 33(4), 471–91. doi:10.1177/001872089103300408
- Rönnerberg, J. (2003). Cognition in the hearing impaired and deaf as a bridge between signal and dialogue: a framework and a model. *International Journal of Audiology*, 42 Suppl 1, S68–76.
- Rönnerberg, J., Lunner, T., Zekveld, A. A., Sörqvist, P., Danielsson, H., Lyxell, B., ... Rudner, M. (2013). The Ease of Language Understanding (ELU) model: theoretical, empirical, and clinical advances. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 7(July), 31. doi:10.3389/fnsys.2013.00031
- Rönnerberg, J., Rudner, M., Foo, C., & Lunner, T. (2008). Cognition counts: a working memory system for ease of language understanding (ELU). *International Journal of Audiology*, 47 Suppl 2(February), S99–105. doi:10.1080/14992020802301167
- Rubio, S., Diaz, E., Martin, J., & Puente, J. M. (2004). Evaluation of subjective mental workload: A comparison of SWAT, NASA-TLX, and Workload Profile methods. *Applied Psychology*, 53(1), 61–86. doi:10.1111/j.1464-0597.2004.00161.x
- Rudner, M., Foo, C., Rönnerberg, J., & Lunner, T. (2009). Cognition and aided speech recognition in noise: Specific role for cognitive factors following nine-week experience with adjusted compression settings in hearing aids. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 50(5), 405–18. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9450.2009.00745.x
- Rudner, M., Lunner, T., Behrens, T., Thorén, E. S., & Rönnerberg, J. (2012). Working memory capacity may influence perceived effort during aided speech recognition in noise. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 23(8), 577–89. doi:10.3766/jaaa.23.7.7

## REFERENCES

- Rudner, M., Rönnberg, J., & Lunner, T. (2011). Working memory supports listening in noise for persons with hearing impairment. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 22(3), 156–67. doi:10.3766/jaaa.22.3.4
- Ruthruff, E., Miller, J., & Lachmann, T. (1995). Does mental rotation require central mechanisms? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21(3), 552–570. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.21.3.552
- Salverda, A. P., Dahan, D., & McQueen, J. M. (2003). The role of prosodic boundaries in the resolution of lexical embedding in speech comprehension. *Cognition*, 90(1), 51–89. doi:10.1016/S0010-0277(03)00139-2
- Samuel, A. (1981a). Phonemic restoration: insights from a new methodology. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 110(4), 474–494.
- Samuel, A. (1981b). The role of bottom-up confirmation in the phonemic restoration illusion. ... of *Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and ...*, 7(5), 1124–1131.
- Sarampalis, A., Kalluri, S., Edwards, B., & Hafter, E. (2009). Objective measures of listening effort: effects of background noise and noise reduction. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 52(5), 1230–40. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2009/08-0111)
- Schneider, B. a, & Pichora-Fuller, M. K. (2000). Implications of perceptual deterioration for cognitive aging research. *The Handbook of Aging and Cognition*, 2, 155–219.
- Schvartz, K. C., Chatterjee, M., & Gordon-Salant, S. (2008). Recognition of spectrally degraded phonemes by younger, middle-aged, and older normal-hearing listeners. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 124(6), 3972–88. doi:10.1121/1.2997434
- Seeber, B. U., Baumann, U., & Fastl, H. (2004). Localization ability with bimodal hearing aids and bilateral cochlear implants. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 116(3), 1698–709. doi:10.1121/1.1776192
- Seidler, R. D., Bernard, J. A., Burutolu, T. B., Fling, B. W., Gordon, M. T., Gwin, J. T., ... Lipps, D. B. (2010). Motor control and aging: links to age-related brain structural, functional, and biochemical effects. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 34(5), 721–33. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.10.005
- Shannon, R. V., Zeng, F.-G., Kamath, V., Wygonski, J., & Ekelid, M. (1995). Speech recognition with primarily temporal cues. *Science*, 270(5234), 303–304. doi:10.1126/science.270.5234.303

- Sheldon, S., Pichora-Fuller, M. K., & Schneider, B. a. (2008). Priming and sentence context support listening to noise-vocoded speech by younger and older adults. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 123(1), 489–99. doi:10.1121/1.2783762
- Shinn-Cunningham, B. G., & Best, V. (2008). Selective attention in normal and impaired hearing. *Trends in Amplification*, 12(4), 283–99. doi:10.1177/1084713808325306
- Shtyrov, Y., Kujala, T., & Pulvermüller, F. (2010). Interactions between language and attention systems: early automatic lexical processing? *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 22(7), 1465–78. doi:10.1162/jocn.2009.21292
- Skinner, M. W., Clark, G. M., Whitford, L. A., Seligman, P. M., Staller, S. J., Shipp, D. B., ... Arndt, P. L. (1994). Evaluation of a new spectral peak coding strategy for the Nucleus 22 Channel Cochlear Implant System. *The American Journal of Otology*, 15 Suppl 2, 15–27.
- Sohoglu, E., Peelle, J. E., Carlyon, R. P., & Davis, M. H. (2012). Predictive top-down integration of prior knowledge during speech perception. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 32(25), 8443–53. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5069-11.2012
- Sörqvist, P., & Rönnerberg, J. (2012). Episodic long-term memory of spoken discourse masked by speech: what is the role for working memory capacity? *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 55(1), 210–8. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2011/10-0353)
- Spriet, A., Van Deun, L., Eftaxiadis, K., Laneau, J., Moonen, M., van Dijk, B., ... Wouters, J. (2007). Speech understanding in background noise with the two-microphone adaptive beamformer BEAM in the Nucleus Freedom Cochlear Implant System. *Ear and Hearing*, 28(1), 62–72. doi:10.1097/01.aud.0000252470.54246.54
- Stenfelt, S., & Rönnerberg, J. (2009). The Signal-Cognition interface: interactions between degraded auditory signals and cognitive processes. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 50(5), 385–93. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9450.2009.00748.x
- Stickney, G. S., Loizou, P. C., Mishra, L. N., Assmann, P. F., Shannon, R. V., & Opie, J. M. (2006). Effects of electrode design and configuration on channel interactions. *Hearing Research*, 211(1-2), 33–45. doi:10.1016/j.heares.2005.08.008
- Strauß, A., Kotz, S. A., & Obleser, J. (2013). Narrowed expectancies under degraded speech: revisiting the N400. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 25(8), 1383–95. doi:10.1162/jocn\_a\_00389
- Studebaker, G. A. (1985). A “rationalized” arcsine transform. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 28(3), 455–62.



## REFERENCES

- Tun, P. A., McCoy, S. L., & Wingfield, A. (2009). Aging, hearing acuity, and the attentional costs of effortful listening. *Psychology and Aging, 24*(3), 761–6. doi:10.1037/a0014802
- Turner, C., Gantz, B. J., Lowder, M. W., & Gfeller, K. E. (2005). Benefits seen in acoustic hearing+ electric stimulation in same ear. *The Hearing Journal, 58*(11), 53.
- Tyler, S. W., Hertel, P. T., McCallum, M. C., & Ellis, H. C. (1979). Cognitive effort and memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning & Memory, 5*(6), 607–617. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.5.6.607
- Uus, K., & Bamford, J. (2006). Effectiveness of population-based newborn hearing screening in England: ages of interventions and profile of cases. *Pediatrics, 117*(5), e887–93. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-1064
- Van den Noort, M., Bosch, P., Haverkort, M., & Hugdahl, K. (2008). A Standard Computerized Version of the Reading Span Test in Different Languages. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 24*(1), 35–42. doi:10.1027/1015-5759.24.1.35
- Van Engen, K. J., & Peelle, J. E. (2014). Listening effort and accented speech. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 8*(August), 577. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2014.00577
- Van Hardeveld, R. Het belang van Cochleaire Implantatie voor gehoorbeperkten - resultaten van een enquête gehouden in 2010 (2010).
- Van Wieringen, A., & Wouters, J. (2008). LIST and LINT: sentences and numbers for quantifying speech understanding in severely impaired listeners for Flanders and the Netherlands. *International Journal of Audiology, 47*(6), 348–55. doi:10.1080/14992020801895144
- Vermeire, K., Brokx, J. P. L., Wuyts, F. L., Cochet, E., Hofkens, A., & Van de Heyning, P. H. (2005). Quality-of-life benefit from cochlear implantation in the elderly. *Otology & Neurotology: Official Publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology, 26*(2), 188–95.
- Versfeld, N. J., Daalder, L., Festen, J. M., & Houtgast, T. (2000). Method for the selection of sentence materials for efficient measurement of the speech reception threshold. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 107*(3), 1671–84. doi:10.1121/1.428451
- Von Ilberg, C., Kiefer, J., Tillein, J., Pfenningdorff, T., Hartmann, R., Stürzebecher, E., & Klinke, R. (2000). Electric-acoustic stimulation of the auditory system. New technology for severe hearing loss. *ORL; Journal for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology and Its Related Specialties, 61*(6), 334–40.

- Wagner, A. E., Pals, C., de Blecourt, C. M., Sarampalis, A., & Başkent, D. (2016). Does Signal Degradation Affect Top-Down Processing of Speech? *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, 894, 297–306. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-25474-6\_31
- Wagner, A. E., Toffanin, P., & Başkent, D. (2016). The Timing and Effort of Lexical Access in Natural and Degraded Speech. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(March), 1–14. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00398
- Wechsler, D. (2012). Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, 4th ed. (WAIS-IV-NL) Dutch version.
- Wickens, C. D. (1992). *Engineering psychology and human performance*. NY: HarperCollins Publishers Inc.
- Wickens, C. D. (2008). Multiple Resources and Mental Workload. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 50(3), 449–455. doi:10.1518/001872008X288394
- Wild, C. J., Yusuf, A., Wilson, D. E., Peelle, J. E., Davis, M. H., & Johnsrude, I. S. (2012). Effortful listening: the processing of degraded speech depends critically on attention. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 32(40), 14010–21. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1528-12.2012
- Wilding, J., & White, W. (1985). Impairment of rhyme judgments by silent and overt articulatory suppression. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*, 37(1), 95–107. doi:10.1080/14640748508400953
- Wilson, B. S., Finley, C. C., Lawson, D. T., Wolford, R. D., Eddington, D. K., & Rabinowitz, W. M. (1991). Better speech recognition with cochlear implants. *Nature*, 352(6332), 236–8. doi:10.1038/352236a0
- Wingfield, A. (1996). Cognitive factors in auditory performance: context, speed of processing, and constraints of memory. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 7(3), 175–82.
- Wingfield, A., Aberdeen, J. S., & Stine, E. A. (1991). Word onset gating and linguistic context in spoken word recognition by young and elderly adults. *Journal of Gerontology*, 46(3), P127–9.
- Wingfield, A., Lindfield, K. C., & Goodglass, H. (2000). Effects of Age and Hearing Sensitivity on the Use of Prosodic Information in Spoken Word Recognition. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 43(4), 915.
- Wingfield, A., McCoy, S. L., Peelle, J. E., Tun, P. A., & Cox, L. C. (2006). Effects of adult aging and hearing loss on comprehension of rapid speech varying in syntactic complexity. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 17(7), 487–97.

## REFERENCES

- Wingfield, A., & Tun, P. A. (2007). Cognitive Supports and Cognitive Constraints on Comprehension of Spoken Language. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 18(7), 548–558. doi:10.3766/jaaa.18.7.3
- Winn, M. B., Chatterjee, M., & Idsardi, W. J. (2012). The use of acoustic cues for phonetic identification: effects of spectral degradation and electric hearing. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 131(2), 1465–79. doi:10.1121/1.3672705
- Winn, M. B., Edwards, J. R., & Litovsky, R. Y. (2015). The Impact of Auditory Spectral Resolution on Listening Effort Revealed by Pupil Dilation. *Ear and Hearing*, 36(4), e153–65. doi:10.1097/AUD.0000000000000145
- Won, J. H., Drennan, W. R., & Rubinstein, J. T. (2007). Spectral-ripple resolution correlates with speech reception in noise in cochlear implant users. *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology: JARO*, 8(3), 384–92. doi:10.1007/s10162-007-0085-8
- Wu, Y., Stangl, E., Zhang, X., Perkins, J., & Eilers, E. (2016). Psychometric Functions of Dual-Task Paradigms for Measuring Listening Effort, 1–11.
- Zekveld, A. A., Kramer, S. E., & Festen, J. M. (2010). Pupil response as an indication of effortful listening: the influence of sentence intelligibility. *Ear and Hearing*, 31(4), 480–90. doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e3181d4f251
- Zekveld, A. A., Kramer, S. E., & Festen, J. M. (2011). Cognitive Load During Speech Perception in Noise: The Influence of Age, Hearing Loss, and Cognition on the Pupil Response. *Ear and Hearing*, 1–13. doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e31820512bb
- Zekveld, A. A., Rudner, M., Johnsrude, I. S., & Rönnberg, J. (2013). The effects of working memory capacity and semantic cues on the intelligibility of speech in noise. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 134(3), 2225–2234. doi:10.1121/1.4817926
- Zhang, T., Spahr, A. J., & Dorman, M. F. (2010). Frequency overlap between electric and acoustic stimulation and speech-perception benefit in patients with combined electric and acoustic stimulation. *Ear and Hearing*, 31(2), 195–201. doi:10.1097/AUD.0b013e3181c4758d